“Counties are on the front lines of responding to the housing crisis. Stable, quality housing is the foundation for better health, safety, education, a strong workforce, improved financial wellness, and lower demands on the social safety net. [Counties are] committed to meeting the moment and addressing our residents’ housing needs.”

NACo President Denise Winfrey,
Will County, Illinois, Commissioner
THE NEED FOR ACCESS TO MODERATELY-PRICED, FAIR-QUALITY HOUSING IN ALL COUNTIES

For a growing number of Americans, the cost of housing is crowding out the rest of their household budget. That’s forcing many families into precarious living situations that affect their safety, their health, the length of their commutes and their chance to build generational wealth or to contribute to a vibrant community where they feel like they have a stake.

In 18 percent of counties, households spend more than 3.5 times their annual income to afford a typical home. Nearly a quarter (23 percent) of households that occupy rental units are severely cost-burdened, spending more than half of their annual income on rent. There is a shortage of more than 3.8 million homes across the country, according to Freddie Mac, and it will take more than 20 years to close the housing unit gap despite the recent acceleration in development, according to the National Association of Realtors.

These statistics lend context to a problem counties know all too well: housing affordability is increasingly out of reach for residents. In Valley County, Idaho, a small resort community outside of Boise, the median home price is $650,000, while the median household income is only $75,000 – primarily driven by the influx of wealthy households. First responders, service-sector and healthcare workers, teachers and other community members face the choice of commuting several hours or living in homes not intended for long-term habitation like Recreational Vehicles (RVs). For children from the community, there is virtually no path that leads to living in the county where they’ve grown up.

Across the country in Franklin County, Ohio, the bustling home to the capital city of Columbus, four out of every ten renters are cost-burdened, spending more than 30 percent of their annual income on housing. The lingering impacts of decades of unjust housing policies like redlining, access to financial institutions and affordable financing still cloud the pathways to homeownership for many black and brown residents.

Stories like these are not the exception but a commonality across many counties in this country. Housing fulfills the basic human need for shelter and is the foundation for better health, consistent education, a stronger workforce, improved financial wellness, and lowered demand for the public sector safety net.

Housing experts, policymakers and data illuminate three persistent barriers for access to housing: affordability, supply and quality. In November 2022, NACo President Denise Winfrey launched a national task force of county officials to study housing affordability, charged with two goals: identify county-led policy, practice and partnership solutions to addressing America’s housing affordability crisis, and explore intergovernmental partnership opportunities that support housing solutions between federal, state and local officials, along with private, nonprofit and other community organizations.

Housing policy is a highly complex, multi-layered topic requiring bipartisan partnerships, dialogue and coordination across all levels of government, private and nonprofit organizations and the community. It is not a partisan issue but one impacting residents of all political, demographic, geographic and socioeconomic stripes.

Since late 2022, the task force met with experts and policymakers, studied data and trends, and analyzed county authorities and strategies to foster housing affordability. While there is no simple solution to housing affordability, counties can work within our policy, financial, convening, educational and administrative levers to be a part of the effort to generate and preserve housing so our neighbors, communities and the next generation can have a better future.

HON. KEVIN BOYCE
Commissioner, Franklin County, Ohio
NACo Housing Task Force Co-Chair

HON. SHERRY MAUPIN
Commissioner, Valley County, Idaho
NACo Housing Task Force Co-Chair
THE PERFECT STORM FOR A HOUSING AFFORDABILITY CRISIS

Many colliding factors contribute to the current housing affordability crisis.

Housing costs have been steadily rising, often outpacing inflation or wage growth. Roughly half (46 percent) of renters and over one in three homeowners (35.1 percent) were cost-burdened in 2021, including 23 percent of renters and 9 percent of homeowners who spent at least half of their household income on housing throughout the year.¹ Compounding these burdens, the median price of a home surged by more than $107,000 between Q1 2020 and Q1 2023.² Furthermore, rents have increased by 16 percent between January 2020 and March 2023, with additional rent increases expected in the coming months.³ With wages increases struggling to keep pace for many in the workforce over the last several decades, the increase in the costs of housing are straining renters and homeowners alike.

Not only are Americans facing higher housing costs, but the stock available for renting or owning is also increasingly limited and, too often, in disrepair. The number of active housing listings in June 2023 was at one of the lowest levels since 2016 and 34 percent lower than the number of listings in February 2020.⁴ Moreover, the number of available rental units has been cut nearly in half over the past decade (10 percent in 2010 vs. 5.2 percent at the end of 2021 vacancy rates).⁵

For the available homes, the Philadelphia Federal Reserve concluded roughly one-third had significant non-cosmetic deficiencies in 2022, conveying an estimated price tag of $149.3 billion for repairs.⁶ Increased repair costs are, in part, a byproduct of older housing stock. The Median age of homes increased to 43 years old in 2021.⁷ Plus, soaring construction costs (materials and labor) during the pandemic exacerbated the challenge.⁸

As the population demographics continue to shift, approximately 40 percent of housing stock lacks accessibility like an entry-level bedroom and bathroom necessary to serve older generations and those with reduced mobility issues.⁹ Moreover, the increasing frequency of natural disasters significantly threatens the existing housing stock. Throughout 2022, there were 18 unique billion-dollar natural disasters; when combined with the past seven years, the total price tag exceeds $1 trillion, constituting more than one-third of the entire disaster cost over the past 42 years.¹⁰

Though housing has been a critical discussion point in the body politic for decades, the problem has grown in scope. During the pandemic, a sample of landlords reporting collection rates above 90 percent fell from 89 percent in 2019 to 62 percent in 2020.¹¹ Those experiencing particular hardship during the pandemic tended to be low-income or of Hispanic origin.¹² Additionally, as flexible work options become more mainstream anecdotes of individuals seeking a different environment to work from – in a lower cost-of-living area – have skyrocketed and, in some cases, are pricing locals out of their home communities.¹³
HOUSING AFFORDABILITY IS A COMPLEX ISSUE REQUIRING STRONG PARTNERSHIPS, DIALOGUE AND COORDINATION

Counties face significant headwinds when it comes to housing affordability. Across federal, state, local, private and community sectors, authority and responsibility to impact housing affordability varies. All of these intersections weave a complex system to navigate. As such, it is critical for counties to engage in dialogue and coordination to build strong relationships with the stakeholders impacting housing. Below is a sample of the continuum of factors impacting housing supply and affordability.

GLOBAL AND NATIONAL
- Housing materials supply chains
- Housing investments and programs
- Monetary policy and interest rates
- Tax policy and housing laws
- Labor laws

STATE GOVERNMENTS
- Tax policies and incentives
- Disaster mitigation
- Building codes & local housing law preemption
- Land use and zoning framework

SUB-STATE REGIONAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
- Tax policies and incentives – particularly county property taxes
- Local building codes, ordinances and land use
- Programs, investments and services in housing, workforce, infrastructure and transportation
- Disaster and emergency preparedness and mitigation

PRIVATE MARKETS
- Global and national investors, lenders and insurers
- Technology disrupters such as shared service providers
- Housing developers and financial institutions
- Rental markets and landlord practices
- Skilled labor shortages and wages

COMMUNITY MEMBERS AND PUBLIC INTERESTS
- Historically designated areas
- Community land use interests
- Nonprofit and community-based organizations delivering housing services
- Neighborhood associations, community groups and local advocates
“Government is not the solution for local housing issues but needs to be a part of the conversations surrounding how we can and should find common goals and solutions for a growing problem.”

NACo Housing Task Force Co-Chair Sherry Maupin, Valley County, Idaho, Commissioner
The County Housing Ecosystem

Housing spans a wide range of touchpoints in many counties. Though county authorities vary, each county can play a role in the solution. There are five key areas in which counties may possess the authority to foster housing affordability.

**Community Engagement, Partnerships and Education**

Much of the work required to increase housing stock depends on engagement with the community. Not only can counties partner with other governments, private sector officials and community organizations to advance housing, but local leaders can also serve as an educational body to inform residents.

**Federal-County Intergovernmental Nexus**

Federal funding – such as the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) – is often used by counties to administer housing programs, build infrastructure that supports new development and provide assistance for low-income residents.

**Finance, Lending and County Tax Policy**

Property taxes are the primary driver of most county finances and can play a significant role in the use of land. Additionally, some counties work with financial institutions or leverage federal programs to provide direct support to individuals or incentives for new developments, homeownership and other housing programs.

**Regulation, Codes and Associated Fees**

Developing a property for housing requires following a set of codes and regulations to ensure safety. Counties often issue permits and conduct code enforcement, and some developments require studies or carry other special fees associated with construction.

**Land Use, Zoning, Infrastructure and Community Planning**

Zoning is important to designate how a parcel of land is used within a community, and a community land use plan seeks to properly map out the land within a county jurisdiction. Further, to build housing requires infrastructure like roads, utilities and broadband, some of which counties build, maintain, regulate or otherwise support.
NACo HOUSING TASK FORCE: ABBREVIATED BEST PRACTICES AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Housing affordability is complex, multifaceted and interdependent. So too are county authorities and resources on local housing policy, financing and regulation. Because of the varied authorities, each county’s approach to addressing the challenge is different. Recognizing the acute need for housing affordability, the county housing ecosystem and associated recommendations seek to provide local leaders an opportunity for best practices and policy that reflects the diverse tools of county governments.

It is also important to recognize that each policy or ecosystem pillar does not exist independently, but are pieces of a whole. The land use and zoning plans in a community ultimately impact the county tax base and services; the partnerships established within a community can inform state and federal advocacy efforts; use of federal funds can reflect financing of new developments; and local regulations can have significant implications on community engagement. Recognizing this interconnection is important to understanding the levers and opportunities available to counties to foster affordability and quality.

Regardless of the county approach, the process of creating solutions for housing affordability at the local level is often slow, contentious and grueling. This recommendation framework does not intend to provide a prescriptive implementation guide. Rather, this document provides a broad set of tools and examples county leaders may use to develop a local housing action plan that reflects each community’s unique needs, values and priorities and considers the varied relationships and resources available.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>1. Land Use, Zoning, Infrastructure And Community Planning</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Evaluate Current Zoning Plans and Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Identify Potential Infrastructure Barriers to New Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Understand the Inventory of Additional Land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Develop a Long-Term Housing and Land Use Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Assess Existing Housing Stock for Potential Opportunities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>2. Local Regulation, Permitting And Fees</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Evaluate County Permitting and Inspections to Improve Processes and Workflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Provide Pre-Approved Templates for Common Housing Designs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Conduct a Cost-Benefit Analysis for County Impact, Development, and General Fee Pricing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Analyze Local Regulations Impact on Affordability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Make County Systems Consistent, Convenient, and Easier to Navigate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>3. Federal-County Intergovernmental Nexus</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Invest Additional Federal Resources to Support Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Engage in NACo Policy Resolution Process to Advocate for Counties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Educate Federal and State Partners on Local Housing Needs and Simplify Programs and Compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Seek Additional Funding Opportunities as Resources Allow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Combine Resources for Maximum Impact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>4. Community Engagement, Partnerships And Education</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Collaborate with Intergovernmental Partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Establish an Office or Department to Streamline Housing Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Foster a Healthy Dialogue with Community Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Conduct a Robust Outreach and Education Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Measure Success and Clearly Communicate Milestones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>5. Finance, Lending And County Tax Policy</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Identify Opportunities for Tax Incentives or Policy Updates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Analyze the County Assessment Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Administer Supportive Programs That Prioritize Underserved Communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Partner with Local Organizations to Provide Innovative Financing Mechanisms for New Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Source New Revenue Streams for County Housing Priorities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NACo HOUSING TASK FORCE PROCESS

In November 2022, NACo President Denise Winfrey launched the Housing Task Force, a group of 33 elected and professional county housing experts. President Winfrey charged the task force with two goals: to elevate county-led solutions to address the housing affordability crisis confronting America’s counties and identify intergovernmental opportunities for partnership on housing issues.

The task force work began in earnest in November 2022, with an in-person convening to explore the county’s role in housing. Led by co-chairs Sherry Maupin and Kevin Boyce, Commissioners from Valley County, Idaho and Franklin County, Ohio, respectively, task force members engaged in discussion with experts from the Harvard Joint Center for Housing, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the Aspen Institute – the task force partner – on county authority, challenges and solutions to housing affordability.

Five core focus areas emerged from these conversations to form the County Housing Ecosystem.

1. The federal-county intergovernmental nexus
2. Local regulations, codes and fees
3. Finance, lending and county tax policy
4. Land use, zoning, infrastructure and community planning
5. Community engagement, partnerships and education

Meeting again in February 2023 during the NACo Legislative Conference in Washington, D.C., the task force explored these areas with in-depth conversations on homeownership, rental housing and technology solutions. The task force also participated in an open discussion with White House senior leadership on the federal-to-county nexus and areas for intergovernmental collaboration.

Over the following months, the task force met virtually with experts from around the country to discuss the financial, administrative and policy levers counties can employ to affect change. During the final in-person meeting in May 2023 in Dallas County, Texas, task force members explored some of these solutions in action.

From the task force work, a recommendation guide for local leaders seeking to advance housing affordability has been born. The framework is not a silver bullet solution, and housing affordability does not come to fruition overnight. However, the framework provides a guide – a point of origin – for leaders seeking change, wherever they may be starting the housing affordability journey. Though county authority on housing is complex and varied, the task force guide aims to be another tool in the county toolbox from which local leaders can draw ideas, inspiration, projects and solutions that can be tailored to fit the unique needs of each community.

Though the work of counties on advancing housing affordability is not done, the release of the recommendation framework marks a milestone in the county effort to build strong communities and ensure equitable access to safe, quality and reasonably priced housing for every resident in every county across the country.
NACo HOUSING TASK FORCE TIMELINE

2022

TASK FORCE FRAMING, WORKPLAN, MEMBER SOLICITATION & SCOPING PHASE

ANNOUNCE TASK FORCE

FIRST MEETING

2023

EXPLORATORY & LEARNING PHASE

EXPLORATORY & LEARNING PHASE CONT.

EXTRAPOLATION & CONTENT PRODUCTION PHASE

RECOMMENDATIONS & FINDINGS DISSEMINATION PHASE, AND NEXT STEPS ON COUNTY HOUSING SOLUTIONS

NACo ANNUAL CONFERENCE
“Our nation is facing a perfect storm of factors that contribute to the housing crisis. We are focused on addressing challenges and expanding opportunities for our residents to achieve the American dream of housing security.”

NACo Housing Task Force Co-Chair Kevin Boyce, Franklin County, Ohio, Commissioner
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APPENDIX B: TASK FORCE MEMBERS

Launched in November 2022, the NACo Housing Task Force convened county government officials nationwide to illuminate the most critical housing challenges and opportunities from the county government perspective.

## TASK FORCE MEMBERS REPRESENT COUNTIES ACROSS THE COUNTRY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title/Role</th>
<th>County/Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Rod Beck</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>Ada County, Idaho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Mack Bernard</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>Palm Beach County, Fla.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Kevin Boyce*</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>Franklin County, Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Matt Calabria</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>Wake County, N.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Reuben Collins</td>
<td>Commissioner President</td>
<td>Charles County, Md.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brantley Day</td>
<td>Community Development Director</td>
<td>Cherokee County, Ga.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Richard Desmond</td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>Sacramento County, Calif.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Dunn</td>
<td>Executive Director, Housing Redevelopment Authority</td>
<td>Olmsted County, Minn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Richard Elsner</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>Park County, Colo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Bill Gravell</td>
<td>Judge</td>
<td>Williamson County, Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. George Hartwick</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>Dauphin County, Pa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Carlotta Harrell</td>
<td>Chair of the Board of Commissioners</td>
<td>Henry County, Ga.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Deb Hays</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>New Hanover County, N.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Hickey</td>
<td>Director of Housing and Community Development</td>
<td>Baltimore County, Md.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Eileen Higgins</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>Miami-Dade County, Fla.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Ann Howard</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>Travis County, Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Alicia Hughes-Skandjis</td>
<td>Assembly Member</td>
<td>City and Borough of Juneau, Alaska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Keating</td>
<td>Director of Community Services</td>
<td>DuPage County, Ill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Marilyn Kirkpatrick</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>Clark County, Nev.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graham Knaus</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>California State Association of Counties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Jennifer Kreitz</td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>Mono County, Calif.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Sherry Maupin*</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>Valley County, Idaho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Renee Robinson-Flowers</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>Pinellas County, Fla.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Josh Schoemann</td>
<td>County Executive</td>
<td>Washington County, Wis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Lisa Schuette</td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>Carson City, Nev.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Bill Truex</td>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>Charlotte County, Fla.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Nora Vargas</td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>San Diego County, Calif.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Jennifer Kreitz</td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>Mono County, Calif.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Barry Moehring</td>
<td>Judge</td>
<td>Benton County, Ark.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Ken Hughes</td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>Essex County, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Larry Nelson</td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>Waukesha County, Wis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April Norton</td>
<td>Housing Director</td>
<td>Teton County, Wyo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Alesandrini</td>
<td>Chief Information Officer</td>
<td>Hillsborough County, Fla.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NACo Housing Task Force Co-Chair
APPENDIX C: SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS

Throughout the work of the task force, several experts from across state, federal, local, private and nonprofit partners provided knowledge and insights on local housing policy. The following is a non-exhaustive list of the housing experts that engaged with, advised or otherwise were involved in task force activities.

**Intergovernmental Partners**

**The White House**
Dan Hornung, Special Assistant to the President  
https://www.whitehouse.gov/

**U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development**
Sarah Brundage, Senior Advisor for Housing Supply and Infrastructure  
https://www.hud.gov/

**Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis**
Alene Tchourmoff, Senior Vice President for Community Development and Engagement  
https://www.minneapolisfed.org/

**Dallas County**
Jonathan Bazan, Assistant County Manager  
Elizabeth Allen, Assistant Director of Planning & Dev.  
https://www.dallascounty.org/

**City of Dallas**
Lawrence Agu, Chief Planner  
https://dallascityhall.com/

**Private Industry**

**Builders of Hope Community Development Corporation – Dallas**
James Armstrong III, President & CEO  
https://www.bohcdc.com/

**Catholic Housing Initiative - Dallas**
Shannon Ortleb, COO  
https://www.chidallas.org/

**International Business Machines (IBM)**
Ken Wolsey, Partner for Health and Human Services  
Dan Chenok, Executive Director, Center for The Business of Government  
https://businessofgovernment.org/

**National Association of Home Builders**
Karl Eckhart, Vice President, Intergovernmental Affairs  
https://www.nahb.org/

**Key Banc Capital Markets**
Sam Adams, Managing Director  
https://www.key.com/businesses-institutions/industry-expertise/keybank-capital-markets.html

**National Housing Policy Experts**

**American Enterprise Institute (AEI)**
Howard Husock, Senior Fellow for Domestic Policy Studies  
https://www.aei.org/

**Aspen Institute**
Tim Shaw, Policy Director  
Katherine McKay, Associate Director  
https://www.aspeninstitute.org/

**Brookings Metro**
Jenny Scheutz, Senior Fellow  
https://www.brookings.edu/program/brookings-metro/

**Harvard Joint Center for Housing**
Dr. Chris Hebert, Managing Director  
https://www.jchs.harvard.edu/

**Niskanen Center**
Alex Armlovich, Senior Housing Policy Analyst  
Andrew Justus, Housing Policy Analyst  
https://www.niskanencenter.org/

**The Terner Center for Housing Innovation**
Ben Metcalf, Managing Director  
https://ternercenter.berkeley.edu/

**The Urban Institute**
Yonah Freemark, Research Associate Madeline Brown, Senior Policy Associate  
https://www.urban.org/
APPENDIX D: GLOSSARY OF KEY HOUSING TERMS

Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs): An independent residential living unit that shares the same parcel of land as a single-family home, such as an english basement, carriage-house apartment, in-law suite or similar structure.

Affordable Housing: Housing units that are income-restricted, typically based on parameters around the area median income of a jurisdiction or region, typically referred to as public housing units.

Annual Percentage Rate (APR): A measure that represents the total amount of expected interest charged on a loan, mortgage or other debt mechanism over the course of one year, including compound interest. It takes into account the interest rate and the frequency at which it is compounded.

Appraisal Gap Financing: Appraisal gap financing provides a grant or a loan to cover the gap between appraisal and market value. It is typically used for homes that need some amount of rehabilitation.

Area Median Income (AMI): The midpoint of a specific area's income distribution and is calculated yearly by the Department of Housing and Urban Development. This calculation is used to disburse many federal, state and local programs, including Housing Choice Vouchers, and analyze home-cost burdens and affordability.

Below-Market Financing: Loans that have lower annual percentage rate yields than those available in the private market. These loans lessen the debt needed to finance a particular housing development or rehabilitation project.

Building Codes: Building codes outline minimal standards for building features such as structural integrity, mechanical integrity (water supply, light, ventilation), fire prevention and control, and energy conservation. Building codes are generally determined at the state, national, and international levels.

Building Permits: An authorization that must be granted by a government or other regulatory body before construction or renovation can legally occur.

Capital Magnet Fund: A competitive grant program designed to attract private capital to the development, preservation, rehabilitation, or purchase of affordable housing for low-income families.

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG): An annual grant from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to states, cities, and counties to develop decent and affordable housing and expand economic opportunities for low- and moderate-income persons.

Community Land Trust: Private or non-profit organizations that own land on behalf of a community, creating affordable housing units and maintaining their affordable status in the future. The community land trust owns the land and sells the housing unit to a buyer, along with a ground lease that specifies the terms under which the home may be sold to the next purchaser. Under community land trusts, purchasers own the building and lease the land from the community land trust.

Deed Restriction: Limits how an owner can use their property. In this case, it mainly refers to the deed restrictions that place a temporary – usually a few decades – limit on the subsequent sale price and eligibility of future buyers. This aims to preserve housing units’ affordability into the future.

Density: Refers to the number of housing units per land unit in a given area. Low-density housing areas are typically composed of single-family homes, whereas high-density areas encompass various housing typologies, including but not limited to multi-story buildings featuring multiple units.

Density Bonus: A policy or tax incentive allowing developers to build more units than what would normally be allowed under the zoning code, in exchange for a commitment that developers include a certain number of below-market units in the development.
Department of Housing and Urban Development: The federal agency responsible for national policy and programs that address America's housing needs, improve and develop the Nation's communities, and enforce fair housing laws.

Down Payment: Capitol that the buyer pays upfront in a housing transaction. Down Payments typically range from as little as 3% of the purchase price to as much as 20% of the purchase price.

General Obligation Funds: Funds for affordable housing that are typically used for a large, one-time investment. These contrast with dedicated revenue sources, which provide smaller amount of funding over a longer period.

HOME Investment Partnerships Program: A grant from HUD to states and localities that communities use (often in partnership with local nonprofit groups) to fund affordable housing construction, acquisition, and/or rehabilitation. It is the largest federal block grant designed exclusively to create affordable housing for low-income households.

Housing Affordability: Access to reasonably priced, fair-quality housing; typically around 30 percent of an individual's total monthly income. Policymakers consider households that spend more than 30 percent of income on housing costs to be housing cost burdened.

Housing Authority: A governmental body that governs aspects of housing, often providing support services for low-income residents and administering federal housing programs such as the Fair Choice Housing Voucher program.

Housing Choice Voucher Program: HUD-administered program that helps low-income individuals and families fund affordable, safe housing in the private rental market. Participants receive a voucher that pays for a portion of their rent, helping to bridge the gap between the fair market rent and what the tenant can afford.

Impact Fees: A one-time fee levied by local governments designed to offset the additional cost a housing unit has on community infrastructure.

Inclusionary Housing: Policies that create dedicated affordable housing units by requiring, encouraging or incentivizing developers to include a specified share of below-market units as part of market-rate rental or homeowner developments.

Institutional Investors: Entities such as publicly traded corporations, LLCs, LLPs, and private equity funds or real estate investment trusts (REITs) that possess housing or land as an asset. In recent years, institutional investors have represented a growing share of the single-family housing market.

Land Value Taxation: A form of taxation based on the value of the land, rather than the housing unit. Under this system, a single-family lot would be taxed the same as a multi-family lot.

Linkage Fees: A form of impact fees that link the production of market-rate housing to affordability, charged on non-residential developments such as retail stores, industrial or manufacturing facilities, and other commercial projects.

Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC): A tax incentive for developers to construct or rehabilitate affordable rental housing for low-income households. It has supported the construction or rehabilitation of around 110,000 affordable rental units each year.

Manufactured Homes: Homes that are pre-fabricated and transported to the desired location. These homes can be built much quicker than traditional houses, making them cheaper in comparison.

NIMBY: “Not in my backyard” is a phenomenon in which residents of a neighborhood are opposed to a new development such as affordable housing, believing that it will change their community for the worse.

Missing Middle: Range of housing typologies focusing on form and scale that fit between single-family detached homes and mid-to-high-rise apartment buildings. Some examples include townhomes, duplexes, and triplexes.
**Real Estate Transfer Tax:** A one-time tax or fee imposed by a state or local jurisdiction on the transfer (sale or purchase) of real estate property. The cost of this tax is based on the price of the property transferred to the new owner. Exact laws regarding these taxes vary by locality, in some areas the seller is responsible for payment while others may not even charge transfer taxes.

**Rent Control:** Laws limiting how much landlords can charge for rent to keep the property affordable.

**Rent Stabilization:** An evolution of rent control, rent stabilization regulates the rate at which rent levels can increase.

**Setback Requirements:** The required distance that a housing unit must be from the front, sides, and back of the property line.

**Short-Term Rentals (STRs):** A living space that is not intended for long-term occupancy, such as an Airbnb or Vrbo.

**Split-Rate Taxation:** Similar to land value taxation, this taxation system taxes both land and housing unit, but taxes land at a higher rate than the housing unit that is built on it.

**Transit Oriented Development:** Transit Oriented Development is a growing trend in creating vibrant, livable, sustainable communities. The trend aims to develop walkable, mixed-use communities around high quality public transit systems in hopes of eliminating car dependence and the various costs that arise from it.

**Workforce Housing:** Housing affordable to households earning between 60 and 120 percent of the area median income (AMI). This type of housing mainly targets middle-income workers such as police officers, teachers, healthcare workers, and similar professions.

**YIMBY:** “Yes in my backyard” is the opposite of NIMBY. The YIMBY movement is a pro-housing movement that supports increasing the supply of housing and the creation of zoning ordinances that would allow denser housing to be produced.
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