Understanding FEMA's New Policy Guidelines Before Disasters Hit

- Shannon Burke
- Manager, Hazards Planning Center, APA
- https://www.planning.org/nationalcenters/hazards/
- National Association of Counties, 2019 Legislative Conference, March 4, 2019



APA

Understanding FEMA's New Policy Guidelines Before Disasters Hit Five Strategic Points of Intervention

- Long-range Community Planning and Goal Setting
- Plan Making
 - Comprehensive Plan
 - Land Use Plan
 - Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Standards, Policies, Incentives
- Development Work
- Public Investment



Understanding FEMA's New Policy Guidelines Before Disasters Hit Planning Advisory Service Reports



Hazard Mitigation:

Integrating Best Practices into Planning



James C. Schwab, Editor

American Planning Association Planning Advisory Service Report Number 560

planning.org

Understanding FEMA's New Policy Guidelines Before Disasters Hit Planning for Post Disaster Recovery

- Roles
- Federal framework for recovery
- Disaster Recovery Planning—Integration!
- Plan Implementation-
 - Pre- and post-disaster recovery planning
 - Managing Recovery
 - Legal Considerations—
 - Non-conforming uses, property relocation and other mitigation actions, like building elevation.



Understanding FEMA's New Policy Guidelines Before Disasters Hit Planning for Post Disaster Recovery

Model Pre-Event Recovery Ordinance

- Focuses on actions found necessary to facilitate recovery
- Provides a structured format for capturing essential recovery requirements
- Offers prototypical language adaptable to unique local circumstances



Understanding FEMA's New Policy Guidelines Before Disasters Hit Hazard Mitigation: Integrating Best Practices into Planning

- Published in 2010
- FEMA Mitigation Plans and Plan Linkages
- Integration of the Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP) into other pla
- Implementation Tools
- Case studies



The Planners' Role in Disaster Risk Reduction

Hazard Mitigation: Integrating Best Practices in to

TABLE 3.2. POTENTIAL RELEVANCE OF DISASTER TYPES TO MITIGATION PROVISIONS IN COMPREHENSIVE PLAN ELEMENTS

Type of Plan Element	Flood	Coastal Hazards (includes tsunami)	Seismic	Wildfire	Tornado	Landslide	Volcano
Hazards	x	x	x	x	х	x	x
Land Use	x	x					
Conservation	x	x		x		x	x
Public Facilities	x	x	x	x	х	x	x
Transport	x	x	x	x		x	x
Capital Improvements	x	х	x	x	x	x	x
Housing	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Historic Preservation	x	х	x	х		x	
Economic Development	x	х	x	х		x	
Recreation and Open Space	x	х	x (near fault lines)	x		x	x
Environment	x	x	x	x		x	x
Implementation	x	x	х	x	x	х	x



Integrating Best Practices into Planning



James C. Schwab, Editor

American Planning Association Planning Advisory Service Planning Number 560

planning.org



American Planning Association

Making Great Communities Happen





MITIGATION DIRECTORATE

Understanding FEMA's New Policy Guidelines Before Disasters Hit

March 4, 2019

Katherine B. FoxAssistant Administrator, Mitigation Directorate|Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration

Hazard Mitigation Assistance Program

















\$75M Utility and Infrastructure Protection

Mitigation Planning

Acquisitions

Seismic Retrofit

Flood Control Safe Room/Wind Shelter

Utility and

Infrastructure

Protection

Management

Costs and

Technical Assistance Wind Retrofit

\$20,96M

Wildfire Mitigation Generators

\$197.95M

Strategic Plan

FEMA Strategic Objective 1: Build a Culture of Preparedness







DRRA Section 1234

- Leverage 6% set-aside funding mechanism
- Encourage community-wide mitigation of critical lifelines
- Prioritize resilient infrastructure projects
- Competitive, risk informed projects
- Build capacity and capability



BRIC funds will vary based on disasters. FIMA estimates that annual funds will average \$300-500M per year, with significantly greater amounts following years with catastrophic disasters.





Program Design: Building Resilient Lifelines

Lifeline-focused mitigation projects

could involve a wide variety of public, private, and non-profit organizations





Building Resilient Lifelines - Example

NYU Langone Medical Center



- 590 million in 406 HM (428)
- Holistic campus wide mitigation
- Integral flood barrier protects all campus buildings







Future Engagement

- Ideascale for inputs
- Conference Strategy
- Federal Register formal comment











THANK YOU

Katherine B. FoxAssistant Administrator, Mitigation Directorate| Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration

Boulder County Land Use Department



NACo's 2019 Legislative Conference

Understanding FEMA's New Policy Guidelines Before Disasters Hit Monday March 4, 2019

A few disasters and their impact on planning and land use in Boulder County: program, policies and dreams.

Dale Case, AICP dcase@bouldercounty.org

Boulder County







"Floods are acts of Nature; but flood losses are largely acts of man." -- Dr. Gilbert White



The principal waterway in Boulder is Boulder Creek and its principal function, from which there is no escaping, is to carry off the storm-water which runs into it from the territory which it drains. If, lulled by the security of a few seasons of small storms, the community permits the channel to be encroached upon, it will inevitably pay the price in destructive floods. Again and again, this little piece of history has repeated itself on stream after stream, in town after town.



Frederick Law Olmstead Harvard Regional Planner in The Improvement of Boulder, Colorado, 1910

AICP Code of Ethics – Principal to which we Aspire "We shall have special concern for the long-range consequences of present actions."











"Bought home eight years ago...Looking back now Boulder County should have never let anyone build there."

> Phil Gatt testimony to Boulder County Planning Commission 10/16/2013











Boulder County Comprehensive Plan Goals – Natural Hazards

Comprehensive Plan

L.1 Inappropriate development in natural hazard areas should be reduced as much as possible or eliminated in order to minimize potential harm to life, health and property.



L.2 Efforts to mitigate existing areas at risk to the impacts of natural hazards and disasters should be made to minimize the potential for harm to life, health, and property.



BCCP – A couple of general policies

NH 1.03 The county should ensure to the extent possible that land use activities do not aggravate, accelerate, or increase the level of risk from natural hazards.

NH 1.04 The level of risk from natural hazards should be reduced through positive county action such as guiding development away from areas prone to natural disturbances, mitigating existing development from hazards, and considering the impact on ability to provide emergency services.



Plans – Hazard Specific Land Use Policies

- Erosion
- Flooding
- Wildfire
- Radiation
- Seismicity
- Extreme Weather Conditions

Erosion

- NH 3.01 Erosion from development and other land use activities should be minimized, and disturbed or exposed areas should be promptly restored to a stable, natural, and/or vegetated condition using native plants and natural materials.
- NH 3.02 Drainage from development or any alterations to historic drainage patterns shall not increase erosion either on site or on adjacent properties.

Flooding

- NH 4.01 The county should strongly discourage and strictly control land use development from locating in designated floodplains, as identified in the *Boulder County Zoning Maps*.
- NH 4.02 The county should strongly discourage and strictly control land use development from locating in areas below dams, spillways, and levees that would require the State Engineer to upgrade the classification of these structures.

- NH 4.05 The county should continue to develop and refine the countywide Pre-Disaster Flood Mitigation Plan.
- NH 4.06 The county will continue to participate and implement the Community Rating System program as part of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

Wildfire

- NH 5.01 The county recognizes the wildland urban interface as an area particularly at risk to wildland fires or wildfires.
- NH 5.02 Fire should be recognized as a natural and/or human-caused occurrence with certain benefits to the ecosystem. The county should strive towards balancing the natural processes of the ecosystem with development concerns so that residents may co-exist in a fire-dependent ecosystem.
- NH. 5.03 Development/site plan reviews in areas identified to be at risk of wildfires should address site location, building construction and design, landscaping/defensible space/fuel management, access and water availability.

County policies & regulations strongly discourage development in designated floodplains.

Natural Hazards [NH] - Page 5



5/27/99

BCCP Goals – indirect but just as important to outcome.

- Unique or critical environmental resources identified pursuant to Goals B.1,
 B.3, B.4 and B.5 shall be conserved and preserved in a manner which assures their protection from adverse impacts, with the private sector, non-county agencies and other governmental jurisdictions being encouraged to participate.
- Environmental Conservation Areas (ECAs) should be conserved and preserved in order to perpetuate those species, biological communities, and ecological processes that function over large geographic areas and require a high degree of naturalness.
- Riparian ecosystems, which are important plant communities, wildlife habitat and movement corridors, shall be protected.
- Future urban development should be located within or adjacent to existing urban areas in order to eliminate sprawl and strip development, to assure the provision of adequate urban services, to preserve agriculture, forestry and open space land uses, and to maximize the utility of funds invested in public facilities and services.



Geologic Hazard & Constraint Areas Geology



Legend RELATIVE GEOTECHNICAL RATING: GEOLOGIC HAZARD AREAS



Subsequent Placed Letters Indicate Geologic Hazard or Constraint in Order of Impact (See Example Given)

a Snow Avalanche

c Soll Creep f Flooding

ff Flash Flooding Corridors and Associated alluvial (Debris) Fans

I Landslides, Mudslides, Mudfalls, Debris Fans

r Rockfalls, Rock Avalanches

s Subsidence (Abandoned Coal Mines) x Expansive Soll or Claystone

EXAMPLE:



Notes

ũ

So.

ard

Haz

Geologic

uction method limit precision in physical fe and boundary locations. PRINTED - APRIL 22, 1999

Revisions

dopted - Planning Commission - March 22, 1978

- County Commissioners - April 6, 1978





Open Space in Boulder County



Boulder County



arks 8

Tools and Integration

- Plans Comprehensive Plan, Hazard Mitigation Plan, Watershed Master Plans, CIP, etc.....
- Regulations Applies to new and upgrades/changes to existing
 - Zoning
 - Subdivision
 - Floodplain
 - Building Code
- Programmatic Can apply retrospectively to existing development
 - Wildfire Partners
 - BOCO Strong
 - Acquisition Program Room For the River
 - Housing
 - Data Floodplain, debris flow, erosion hazard area
 - Form relationships/ identify grants State Agencies, FEMA, CDBG-DR, etc.

Plan Implementation – Regulations (AKA Hammer)

- Flood Plain Regulations and remapping
- Site Plan Review
- Building Code





• "Article 19" - Post Disaster Regulations



Site Plan Review

1993 – Site Plan Review created. Review & approval of wildfire mitigation plans & inspection of defensible space became part of daily plan review and inspection routine.

Specific Code Criteria-

"The use will not result in unreasonable risk of harm to people or property - both onsite and in the surrounding area - from natural hazards. Development or activity associated with the use must avoid natural hazards, including those on the subject property and those originating off-site with a reasonable likelihood of affecting the subject property. Natural hazards include, without limitation..... all as identified in the Comprehensive Plan Geologic Hazard and Constraint Areas Map or through the Special Review or Limited Impact Special Review process using the best available information. Best available information includes, without limitation, updated topographic or geologic data, Colorado Geologic Survey landslide or earth/debris flow data, interim floodplain mapping data, and creek planning studies."



Building Code -

1989 – Amended building code to require Class A roofs in Fire Zone 1

2015 – Amended code using portions of the International Wildland-Urban Interface Code (IWUIC), mostly in the area of ignition-resistant construction, without actually adopting the IWUIC

Long term impacts –

- Green /Sustainable Building Code –
- Impacts of Climate Change
- How to be more resilient


Pre Disaster for Post Disaster/ Plan your disaster/ be ready

Article 19



Procedures Following Disasters

https://assets.bouldercounty.org/wpcontent/uploads/2017/02/land-usecode-article-19.pdf

- Moratorium time to make decisions/enact specific rebuilding regulations
- Temporary Emergency Uses debris yards, temporary housing, critical response facilities
- Temporary Emergency Repairs temporary emergency repairs" includes temporary roof repairs to prevent further water damage, temporary stabilization to shore up structures, temporary stabilization involving earthwork to avoid imminent collapse of structures or property, and temporary restoration of public recreational facilities such as trails and trailhead parking areas.
- Deconstruction/Demolition make take immediate action but still need a permit
 - Hazard Mitigation Review





Providing Value to

- Homeowners
- Comprehensive home assessment
- Customized report & "To Do List"
- Phone Advising
- Financial Assistance
- Transferrable Certificate & Recognition





A Public-Private Partnership

- 40+ organizations
- Individual home certification insurers and realtors need
- Local, state and federal government funding
 - Spreading in Colorado











Jim Webster, Wildfire Partners Program Coordinator

jbwebster@bouldercounty.org

Program Website: www.WildfirePartners.org





Room for the River



Creating Room For The River Final Report



Mitigations Strategies

Strategy 1: Minor and infrastructure-related flood mitigation projects

Strategy 2: Structure Elevation/Relocation/Mitigation Reconstruction

Strategy 3: Deed Restrictions/Conservation Easement

Strategy 4: Property Acquisition

Strategy 5: Integrating river-related hazard mitigation with existing plans and policies.

Boulder County

February 28, 2018 Michael Baker



Room for the River

3,000+

Number of existing structures in known floodprone areas throughout the county

900+

Number of structure footprints within the county's Regulatory Floodway

Parcels with at least 1 structure impacted by
5+ hazards: 4+ hazards: 3+ hazards:
6
61
361

Structures in the Floodway with room to relocate: 106 # Structures outside of Floodway that could elevate: 1,212





Land Use Solutions for Colorado



CONTENT:

- Introduction and Summary
- Planning Framework
- Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment
- Planning Tools and Strategies
 - > Model Code Language
- Moving Forward
- Appendix Hazards in Colorado

www.PlanningforHazards.com





PLANNING FOR HAZARDS Land Use Solutions for Colorado

MARCH 2016



With the history of disasters this should all be easy?

"...a great disparity temporal disparity between the pace at which the mountains behave and the way people think. Debris flows do not occur every possible season... Exceptional flows are frequent, in other words, but not frequent enough to deter people from building pantiled mansions in the war zone, dingbats in the line of fire."

It's a fantastic place to be in a storm...You hear a sound like castanets—boulders clicking together. They're not pebbles. And there is a scent which is absolutely heavenly, of the crushed chaparral plants. It's so fragrant and beautiful it's eerie to have it associated with something so terrifying. And, God knows it is terrifying." -- so why do you live here? "Freedom" p. 237 The Control of Nature by John McPhee, 1989

Boulder County



p. 203 The Control of Nature by John McPhee, 1989

Garp Mentality "will take it.... The odds of this happening again are astronomical. She's been pre-disastered, we will be safe here"







Counties Role in Flood Risk Reduction





March 4, 2019

Flood Disasters



1 to 2'

- Cost of flooding: In 2019 alone?
- US: 3 costliest worldwide
- Federal investment?
- Your County after an huge storm/rainfall?





24 to 30

2 to 4

18 to 24

30"+

Flood Resiliency





Risk Reduction Actions (Cumulative)

The ability to overcome a situation of crisis No singular action will eliminate Flood Risk!

Leading the way





Cha SINGHUYI WATER Services

StormWater.CharMeck.org

Partnerships in Resiliency



Local Governments & Community drive risk or

- Guide land use
- Visioning & Planning
- Regulations
- Infrastructure

PARTNERSHIPS





Planning for Hazards



- 1. Communication & stakeholders involvement
- 2. Floodplain vision
- 3. Quality datasets
- 4. Gaining conscientious on important problems









StormWater.CharMeck.org

Reduce YOUR Future Hazards

- Provide an accurate prediction that protects property owners, infrastructure and the environment; providing confidence that building above or outside the floodplain is reasonably safe from future flooding.
- Pioneered Future Floodplains

Purpose:

Floodplain Maps & Data







StormWater.CharMeck.org

Floodproofing • Improvements • Together

Flood Mitigation

20 Year Journey

- Get em' up or get em' out!
- 40% of flood risk reduced









Apartments





StormWater.CharMeck.org

Flood Sensors

- Char-Meck story
- Smoke Detectors in Creeks
- 911 vs Advance Notification
- Partnerships (USGS, Charlotte Fire, DHS)











What Can YOU Do Differently to Make Your County Safer?

- 1. Have a vision
- **2. Build higher and smarter**
- **3. Invest in information/data**
- **4. Look to the FUTURE**