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## MEMORANDUM

| To: | Alan Lomita, Chairman <br> Way and Means Committee, Ulster County Legislature |
| :--- | :--- |
| CC: | Brian Shapiro, Chairman <br> Environmental Committee, Ulster County Legislature |
| From: | Amanda LaValle and Marty Reisinger <br> Ulster County Department of the Environment |
| Re: | Guidance for fuel-efficient vehicle purchase |
| Date: | $8 / 07 / 08$ |

The Department of the Environment completed some brief research into your question about enhanced fuelefficiency standards for vehicle purchase by the County. We unfortunately didn't find any definitive methodology referencing Federal CAFÉ (Corporate Average Fleet Efficiency) standards. However, we did find some useful information which we feel may be of help in the procurement process. In this memo, you will find our suggestions along with some background information. In addition, attached to this memo is a graph which represents the purchase prices and estimated five year fuel costs for vehicles available on state contract. We think that this visualizes the benefit of purchasing fuel efficient vehicles by considering the combined costs of fuel use along with initial purchase cost.

We hope that this information will be useful to you and the committee. Please call on us (338-7455; 3403522) with any questions or concerns.

## Background Information on Fuel Efficiency Requirements-

In 1975 the Federal government first established the Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFÉ) standards. Those standards doubled passenger car fuel economy average to an average of 27.5 mpg . The standards were reduced for Model Years '86-'89 and increased back to 27.5 mpg for Model Year 1990 and have remained at this level since that time. The standard for light trucks was set at 22.2 mpg for model year 2007. New CAFÉ standards are set to increase fuel economy for both cars and light trucks to 35 mpg by 2020 . We
did not find any reference to municipal or state government enacting their own fleet average standards as a way to increase fleet efficiency.

In New York State, Executive Order \#111 was signed in June of 2001 and it sets standards for alternative fuel vehicle procurement for state government. NYS Executive Order \#111 requires all state agencies, public benefit corporations and public authorities to assure that at least $50 \%$ of all new light-duty vehicles acquired shall be "alternative-fuel" vehicles by 2005. Alternative-fuel vehicle acquisitions are to increase by $10 \%$ annually through 2010, arriving at $100 \%$ of all vehicles purchased. Alternative-fuel vehicles, as defined, include Bi-fuel (CNG/ gasoline; propane/gasoline), Flex-fuel (ethanol/gasoline), hybrid (electric/gasoline), and licensed "Neighborhood Electric" vehicles. While not specifically required under E.O. \#111, all other entities in NY State, e.g., county governments, are "encouraged" to also adopt these requirements.

## EPA Green Vehicles Guide

Securing specific vehicle purchases based on enhanced fuel efficiency and lowest emissions can be supported by referencing the US EPA Green Vehicle Guide. The actual website (http://www.epa.gov/greenvehicles/Index.do) is a useful tool that allows you to search the make, model and model year of all available vehicles and compare specific choices for fuel efficiency and lowest emissions profile - both important environmental criteria.

For your use, we included a spreadsheet that provides the green vehicle rating criteria for cars that are available on state contract. We referenced this spreadsheet to the current state bid lists for "Passenger Cars" and "Alternative Fuel Vehicles" issued by NYS, Office of General Services. You can review this spreadsheet to easily identify the best performing vehicles currently on state contract.

## UC Department of the Environment Recommendations-

In summation of our research, we would like to offer these general guidelines for procurement of vehicles.

## 1- Explicitly consider fuel costs as part of the purchase costs

We realize it may not be economically feasible to purchase only hybrid vehicles or the most fuel efficient vehicles within a particular vehicle class. However, we suggest that in addition to the purchase cost of the vehicle the County consider the fuel costs of operating the vehicle over a five year period of time. We contend that the purchase cost plus the fuel cost for the vehicle more accurately reflects the costs to the County associated with a vehicle purchase. The bar chart attached to this memo illustrates this cumulative cost.

## 2- Buy hybrid or highly fuel efficient vehicles when practicable

There are several vehicles on state contract which may be more costly to procure which actually cost less over a period of time due to increased fuel efficiency and decreased fuel costs. For example, if the County were to purchase an SUV, we would highly suggest the Ford Escape Hybrid be reviewed. In addition, for mid-sized cars we suggest the Toyota Prius. Both vehicles are cost effective, fuel efficient and produce low levels of emissions.

## 3- Buy Flex-Fuel vehicles when available

Although the practicality and environmental benefit of ethanol fuels are highly debatable at this point in time, there are quite a few vehicles on the state contract list which are available in models which can run on gasoline or ethanol for no increase in purchase cost. When this is the case, we suggest buying the flex-fuel vehicle for the following reason 1) ethanol may become more widely available as a fuel source 2 ) alternative methods of ethanol production which have environmental benefits may be developed in the coming years. Purchase of flex fuel vehicles now would be a low to no cost way to position the County to take advantage of the economic and environmental advantages of alternative fuels in the future.

"Ulster County Makes It Happen"<br>Ulster County Web Site: www.co.ulster.ny.us

## Cost Comparison of Selected Vehicles Available on 2008 State Contract



| Model | Veh Class | Cyl | Drive | Fuel |  | City MPG | Hwy MPG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cmb } \\ & \text { MPG } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | NYS contract | 2008 NYS <br> Contract Price | 5 yrs fuel at \$4/gal and 10K mpy | Purchase Cost <br> + 5 yrs fuel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HONDA Civic | small car | (4 cyl) | 2WD | CNG | 9 | 24 | 36 | 28 |  | yes | YES | \$22,246 | \$7,143 | \$29,389 |
| FORD Focus |  | (4 cyl) | 2WD | Gasoline | 9.5 | 24 | 33 | 28 | 8 | yes | YES | \$10,673 | \$7,143 | \$17,815 |
| TOYOTA Prius | midsize car | (4 cyl) | 2WD | Gasoline | 8 | 48 | 45 | 46 | 10 | yes | YES | \$20,122 | \$4,348 | \$24,470 |
| TOYOTA Camry Hybrid |  | (4 cyl) | 2WD | Gasoline | 8 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 9 | yes | YES | \$22,590 | \$5,882 | \$28,472 |
| NISSAN Altima Hybrid |  | (4 cyl) | 2WD | Gasoline | 9.5 | 35 | 33 | 34 | 9 | yes | YES | \$21,554 | \$5,882 | \$27,436 |
| CHEVROLET Malibu Hybrid |  | (4 cyl) | 2WD | Gasoline | 6 | 24 | 32 | 27 | 8 | yes | YES | \$20,478 | \$7,407 | \$27,886 |
| FORD Crown Victoria FFV | large car | (8 cyl) | 2WD | ethanol/gas | 6/6 | 11/15 | 16/23 | 13/18 | 6/5 | no | YES | \$22,009 | \$12,903 | \$34,912 |
| DODGE Charger |  | (6 cyl) | 2WD | Gasoline | 6 | 18 | 26 | 21 | 6 | no | YES | \$15,274 | \$9,524 | \$24,798 |
| CHEVROLET Impala |  | (6 cyl) | 2WD | Gasoline | 6 | 18 | 29 | 22 | 6 | no | YES | \$15,332 | \$9,091 | \$24,423 |
| CHEVROLET Impala |  | (6 cyl) | 2WD | ethanol/gas | 6/6 | 14/18 | 21/29 | 16/22 | 8/6 | yes | YES | \$15,016 | \$10,526 | \$25,542 |
| DODGE Caravan | minivan | (6 cyl) | 2WD | ethanol/gas | 6/6 | 11/17 | 17/24 | 13/19 | 6/5 | no | YES | \$18,334 | \$12,500 | \$30,834 |
| CHEVROLET Uplander |  | (6 cyl) | 2WD | ethanol/gas | 6/6 | 12/16 | 17/23 | 14/19 | $7 / 5$ | yes | YES | \$17,204 | \$12,121 | \$29,326 |
| CHEVROLET Tahoe 1500 Hybrid | SUV | (8 cyl) | 2WD | Gasoline | 6 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 6 | no | YES | \$38,186 | \$9,524 | \$47,710 |
| CHEVROLET Tahoe 1500 <br> Hybrid |  | (8 cyl) | 4WD | Gasoline | 6 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 6 | no | YES | \$43,069 | \$10,000 | \$53,069 |
| TOYOTA Highlander Hybrid |  | (6 cyl) | 4WD | Gasoline | 8 | 27 | 25 | 26 | 8 | yes | YES | \$29,899 | \$7,692 | \$37,591 |
| FORD Escape Hybrid |  | (4 cyl) | 2WD | Gasoline | 8 | 34 | 30 | 32 | 9 | yes | YES | \$23,376 | \$6,250 | \$29,626 |
| FORD Escape Hybrid |  | (4 cyl) | 4WD | Gasoline | 8 | 29 | 27 | 28 | 8 | yes | YES | \$24,804 | \$7,143 | \$31,947 |
| CHEVROLET Tahoe 1500 |  | (8 cyl) | 2WD | ethanol/gas | 7/7 | 11/14 | 15/20 | 12/16 | 6/4 | yes | YES | \$23,546 | \$14,286 | \$37,832 |
| CHEVROLET Tahoe 1500 |  | (8 cyl) | 4WD | ethanol/gas | 7/7 | 11/14 | 14/19 | 12/16 | 6/4 | yes | YES | \$26,794 | \$14,286 | \$41,080 |

Model Year 2008 Green Vehicle Guide

| Model | Veh Class | Cyl | Drive | Fuel |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { City } \\ \text { MPG } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathrm{Hwy} \\ \text { MPG } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cmb } \\ & \text { MPG } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | NYS contract | 2008 NYS <br> Contract <br> Price | 5 yrs fuel at \$4/gal and 10K mpy | Purchase Cost <br> + 5 yrs fuel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEVROLET Suburban 1500 | SUV | (8 cyl) | 2WD | ethanol/gas | $7 / 7$ | 11/14 | 15/20 | $12 / 16$ | 6/4 | yes | YES | \$26,085 | \$14,286 | \$40,371 |
| CHEVROLET Suburban 1500 |  | (8 cyl) | 4WD | ethanol/gas | $7 / 7$ | 11/14 | 14/19 | 12/16 | 6/4 | yes | YES | \$28,595 | \$14,286 | \$42,881 |
| CHEVROLET Avalanche 1500 |  | (8 cyl) | 2WD | ethanol/gas | $7 / 7$ | 11/14 | 15/20 | 12/16 | 6/4 | yes | YES | \$23,275 | \$14,286 | \$37,561 |
| CHEVROLET Avalanche 1500 |  | (8 cyl) | 4WD | ethanol/gas | $7 / 7$ | 11/14 | 14/19 | 12/16 | 6/4 | yes | YES | \$25,925 | \$14,286 | \$40,211 |
| CHEVROLET Express 2500 | van | (8 cyl) | 2WD | ethanol/gas | 6/6 | 9/12 | 12/16 | 10/14 | 4/2 | no | YES | \$15,439 | \$16,667 | \$32,106 |
| CHEVROLET Express 1500 |  | (8 cyl) | 2WD | ethanol/gas | 6/6 | 9/12 | 12/16 | 10/14 | 4/2 | no | YES | \$16,954 | \$16,667 | \$33,621 |
| CHEVROLET Express 1500 |  | (8 cyl) | 4WD | ethanol/gas | 6/6 | 9/12 | 12/16 | 10/14 | 4/2 | no | YES | \$21,279 | \$16,667 | \$37,946 |
| FORD F150 FFV | pickup | (8 cyl) | 4WD | ethanol/gas | 3/3 | 9/13 | 12/17 | 10/15 | 4/3 | no | YES | \$16,305 | \$16,000 | \$32,305 |
| FORD F150 FFV |  | (8 cyl) | 4WD | ethanol/gas | 6/6 | 9/13 | 12/17 | 10/15 | 4/3 | no | YES | \$16,571 | \$16,000 | \$32,571 |
| CHEVROLET Silverado 15 |  | (8 cyl) | 2WD | ethanol/gas | 6/6 | 11/15 | 15/20 | 13/17 | 6/4 | no | YES | \$16,654 | \$13,333 | \$29,988 |
| CHEVROLET Silverado 15 |  | (8 cyl) | 4WD | ethanol/gas | 6/6 | 11/14 | 14/19 | 12/16 | 6/4 | no | YES | \$20,041 | \$14,286 | \$34,327 |
| CHEVROLET Silverado 15 |  | (8 cyl) | 2WD | ethanol/gas | $7 / 7$ | 11/15 | 15/20 | 13/17 | 6/4 | yes | YES | \$14,458 | \$13,333 | \$27,791 |
| CHEVROLET Silverado 15 |  | (8 cyl) | 4WD | ethanol/gas | $7 / 7$ | 11/14 | 14/19 | 12/16 | 6/4 | yes | YES | \$17,958 | \$14,286 | \$32,244 |
|  | Note- Vehicles in blue font are considered preferred environmental choices. Please see the EPA green vehicle guide http://www.epa.gov/greenvehicle/ for additional information on the EPA rating criterium and SmartWay designation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

