



November 8, 2013

The Honorable Howard Shelanski
Administrator, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs
Office of Management and Budget
725 17th Street N.W.
Washington D.C. 20503

RE: EPA's Definition of "Waters of the U.S." Under the Clean Water Act Proposed Rule and Connectivity Report (Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OA-2013-0582)

Dear Administrator Shelanski:

On behalf of the nation's mayors, cities and counties, we are writing regarding the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (Corps) proposed rulemaking to change the Clean Water Act definition of "Waters of the U.S." and the draft science report, *Connectivity of Streams and Wetlands to Downstream Waters: A Review and Synthesis of the Scientific Evidence*, which EPA indicated will serve as a basis for the rulemaking. We appreciate that EPA and the Corps are moving forward with a rule under the Administrative Procedures Act, as our organizations previously requested, however, we have concerns about the process and the scope of the rulemaking.

Background

In May 2011, EPA and the Corps released Draft Guidance on Identifying Waters Protected by the Clean Water Act (Draft Guidance) to help determine whether a waterway, water body or wetland would be jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act (CWA).

In July 2011, our organizations submitted comments on the Draft Guidance, requesting that EPA and the Corps move forward with a rulemaking process that features an open and transparent means of proposing and establishing regulations and ensures that state, local, and private entity concerns are fully considered and properly addressed. Additionally, our joint comments raised concerns with the fact that the Draft Guidance failed to consider the effects of the proposed changes on all CWA programs beyond the 404 permit program, such as Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) and water quality standards programs and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program.

In response to these comments, EPA indicated that it would not move forward with the Draft Guidance, but rather a rulemaking pertaining to the "Waters of the U.S." definition. In November 2011, EPA and the Corps initiated a formal federalism consultation process with state and local government organizations. Our organizations submitted comments on the federalism consultation briefing in December 2011. In early 2012, however, EPA changed course, putting the rulemaking on hold and sent a final guidance document to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for interagency review. Our organizations submitted a letter to OMB in March 2012 repeating our concerns with the agencies moving forward with a guidance document.

Most recently, in September 2013, EPA and the Corps changed course again and withdrew the Draft Guidance and sent a draft “Waters of the U.S” rule to OMB for review. At the same time, the agencies released a draft science report, *Connectivity of Streams and Wetlands to Downstream Waters: A Review and Synthesis of the Scientific Evidence*.

Concerns

While we acknowledge the federalism consultation process that EPA and the Corps began in 2011, in light of the time that has passed and the most recent developments in the process toward clarifying the jurisdiction of the CWA, we request that EPA and the Corps hold a briefing for state and local governments groups on the differences between the Draft Guidance and the propose rule that was sent to OMB in September. Additionally, if EPA and the Corps have since completed a full cost analysis of the proposed rule on all CWA programs beyond the 404 permit program, as our organizations requested, we ask for a briefing on these findings.

In addition to our aforementioned concerns, we have a new concern with the sequence and timing of the draft science report, *Connectivity of Streams and Wetlands to Downstream Waters: A Review and Synthesis of the Scientific Evidence*, and how it fits into the proposed “Waters of the U.S.” rulemaking process, especially since the document will be used as a basis to claim federal jurisdiction over certain water bodies. By releasing the draft report for public comment at the same time as a proposed rule was sent to OMB for review, we believe EPA and the Corps have missed the opportunity to review any comments or concerns that may be raised on the draft science report actually inform the development of the proposed rule. We ask that OMB remand the proposed rule back to EPA and the Corps and that the agencies refrain from developing a proposed rule until after the agencies have thoroughly reviewed comments on the draft science report.

While you consider our requests for additional briefings on this important rulemaking process and material, we also respectfully request additional time to review the draft science report. We believe that 44 days allotted for review is insufficient given the report’s technical nature and potential ramifications on other policy matters.

As partners in protecting America’s water resources, it is essential that state and local governments have a clear understanding of the vast affect that a change to the definition of “Waters of the U.S.” will have on all aspects of the CWA. We look forward to continuing to work with EPA and the Corps as the regulatory process moves forward.

Sincerely,



Tom Cochran
CEO and Executive Director
The U.S. Conference of Mayors



Clarence E. Anthony
Executive Director
National League of Cities



Matt Chase
Executive Director
National Association of Counties

cc: Gina McCarthy, Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Lt. General Thomas P. Bostick, Commanding General and Chief of Engineers, Army Corps of Engineers